Select AOC Budget Provisos
2015-2017

Number	Proviso Language	Action	Date of Action/Update
1	$878,000 of the general fund – state appropriation for fiscal year 2016; $878,000 of the general fund – state appropriation for fiscal year 2017; and $6,784,000 of the judicial information systems account – state appropriation are provided solely for the information network hub project.	Fiscal staff will ensure funds are spent in accordance with the fiscal year split	6-1-16
2	$6,080,000 of the judicial information systems account – state appropriation for fiscal year 2016 is provided solely for continued implementation of the superior court case management system project.	Budget staff will determine the need for a 2017 supplemental budget request.	6-1-16
3	$6,518,000 of the judicial information systems account – state appropriation for fiscal year 2017 is provided solely for continued implementation of the superior court case management system.	Budget staff will determine the need for a 2017 supplemental budget request.	6-1-16
3A	The steering committee for the superior court case management system, the office of administrator of the courts, and county clerks shall work with the case management system vendor to develop cost estimates for modifications to the superior court case management system to address security and document management concerns raised by county clerks. If the cost estimates are not provided to the fiscal committees of the legislature by January 1, 2016, the amounts provided in this subsection shall lapse.	Letter sent to the legislature on Dec. 24, 2015 and presented to the JISC on Feb. 26, 2016 No further action required.	6-1-16
3B	Furthermore, the amounts provided in this subsection shall lapse if the superior court case management system is not live and fully functional in Franklin, Thurston, and Yakima counties by February 1, 2016.	The early adopter acceptance documents will be used to indicate that the system is fully functional. No further action required.	6-1-16
4	$3,789,000 of the judicial information systems account – state appropriation is provided solely for preparation and procurement activities related to the courts of limited jurisdiction case management system (CLJ-CMS) replacement project. The appropriations are further conditioned that the CLJ-CMS replacement project be funded entirely from judicial information system account funds in future biennia. The amounts provided in this subsection for the CLJ-CMS replacement project shall not be expended prior to January 1, 2016. In addition, if the following activities are not complete by the dates provided, no further funds appropriated in this subsection shall be expended on the CLJ-CMS replacement project.	Future funding note is not relevant. January 1, 2016 start is acceptable. No further action required.	

Select AOC Budget Provisos
2015-2017

Number	Proviso Language	Action	Date of Action/Update
4A	Beginning April 1, 2016, and each calendar quarter thereafter, quality assurance reports for the CLJ-CMS replacement project shall be provided to the office of chief information officer for review and for posting on its information technology project dashboard.	• April 1, 2016 date acceptable; • We will post reports to AOC website. • Report sent to OCIO on April 1, 2016. No further action required.	6-1-16
4B	No later than July 1, 2016, the CLJ-CMS replacement project steering committee shall provide a report to the legislature on the status of the procurement process for a CLJ-CMS replacement project, including an affirmation that the project is designed to meet the business processes and requirements of all thirty-nine counties. In addition, the report shall include a statement from each court of limited jurisdiction of its intended use of the CLJ-CMS.	• Status report and "intended use" statement being developed.	Mid-June 2016
4C	No later than January 1, 2017, the judicial information system committee must approve the publication of a request for proposal for the CLJ-CMS replacement project.	Date is okay. No further action necessary.	6-1-16
4D	Prior to any CLJ-CMS replacement project steering committee recommendation to the judicial information system committee of a preferred vendor and prior to the selection of an apparently successful vendor, the office of chief information officer must be allowed to review vendor submittals in response to the request for proposal. To better inform its selection, the office of chief information officer must provide to the CLJ-CMS replacement project steering committee an evaluation each vendor's proposed technology solution assessing its architecture, security, vendor experience and qualifications, project risks and risk management, and whether the technology solution represents the best value.	• Schedule a meeting with OCIO-in process.	6-1-16